arXiv:1608.00342v2 [math.RT] 4 Aug 2016

ON RINGS OF SUPERSYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

A.N. SERGEEV

Abstract. We consider three types of rings of supersymmetric polynomials: polynomial ones $\Lambda_{m,n}$, partially polynomial $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$ and Laurent supersymmetric rings $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$. For each type of rings we give their descriptions in terms of generators and relations. As a corollary we get for $n \geq m$ an isomorphism $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n} = \Lambda^{+y}_{m,m} \otimes \Lambda^{+y}_{0,n-m}$. We also have the same sort of isomorphism for polynomial rings, but in this case the isomorphism does not preserve the grading. For each type of rings we also construct some natural basis consisting of Euler characters.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Laurent symmetric polynomials 2
3. Supersymmetric partially polynomial and polynomial rings 9
4. Laurent supersymmetric polynomials 14
5. Jacobi-Trudy formulae and Euler characters 18
6. Acnowledgements 23
References 24

1. Introduction

Rings of symmetric polynomials Λ_m play important role in many areas of mathematics ([8]). From the representation theory point of view ring $\Lambda_m = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]^{S_m}$ is the Grothendieck ring of polynomial finite dimensional representations of the algebraic group $GL(m)$. Ring Λ_m has a natural linear basis consisting of the classes of irreducible polynomial representations. Corresponding symmetric polynomials are known as Schur polynomials. They can be expressed by Weyl formula or Jacobi-Trudy formula. It is also well known that the ring Λ_m is freely generated by elementary symmetric polynomials $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ as well as complete symmetric polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_m$. So the problem of describing this ring in terms of generators and relations is trivial in this case.

If we consider all finite dimensional representation of the algebraic group $GL(m)$ then the corresponding ring is ring of symmetric Laurent polynomials (see for example [3]) $\Lambda^{\pm}_m = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}]^{S_m}$ This ring was not so well studied. It has of course a natural liner basis consisting of characters of

irreducible representations which can be given again by Weyl formula. There is also some analogue of Jacobi-Trudy formula, which is called composite Schur functions ([2, 9]). It is also well known that $\Lambda_n^{\pm} = \Lambda_n[e_m^{-1}]$. And a natural question is : How to describe this algebra in terms of complete symmetric functions? It turns out, that an answer on this question can be naturally given in terms of Euler characters $H_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$H_k = E(k\varepsilon_1) = \frac{\{x_1^{k+m-1} x_2^{m-2} \dots x_m^0\}}{\{x_1^{m-1} x_2^{m-2} \dots x_m^0\}}$$

where $\{\}$ means alternation on symmetric group S_m. It is easy to check, that $H_k = h_k - h_k^{\infty}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where h_k, h_k^{∞} are coefficients of Laurent series at zero and infinity of the function $\frac{1}{\prod(1-x_i t)}$. It looks like these polynomials play for Laurent symmetric polynomials the same role as polynomials h_k for usual symmetric polynomials. For example Jacobi-Trudy identity in this case can be written in the usual form

$$E_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \det(H_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \le i,j \le m}$$

Polynomials H_k also allow to give a description of the algebra $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$ in terms of generators $h_1, h_1^*, \dots$ and relations between them.

May be a remarkable feature of this approach is that it works in the same manner for rings of supersymmetric polynomials of all types as well. And it turns out that in supersymmetric case we need to consider function $\frac{\prod(1-y_j t)}{\prod(1-x_i t)}$ and define $H_k = h_k - h_k^{\infty}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the same formula as before. Then relations for rings of supersymmetric polynomials (of all types) can be obtain by the same formulae as in $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$ case simply replacing number m by the super-dimension $m - n$. We should mention that the importance of considering Laurent decomposition at infinity was first observed in the paper [7], and some of the relations for algebras supersymmetric polynomials $\Lambda_{m,n}$ were introduced in the paper [6].

As it was proved in [10] ring $\Lambda_{m,n}^{\pm}$ is the Grothendieck ring of the category finite dimensional representations of Lie supergroup $GL(m, n)$ and it has a natural basis consisting of the classes irreducible finite dimensional representations. But there is no simple explicit formula for them [1]. So instead of characters of irreducible modules we use Euler characters following explicit formula by Serganova [5]. We also prove Jacobi-Trudy identity for Euler characters.

2. Laurent symmetric polynomials

In this section we are going to generalised some of the facts about symmetric polynomials for the case of Laurent symmetric polynomials. The main result of this section is a description of the rings of Laurent polynomials in terms of generators and relations. This problem is trivial for rings of usual symmetric polynomials, but it is not trivial for the rings of Laurent polynomials.

Definition 2.1. *Let us define*

$$\Lambda_m^{\pm} = \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]^{S_m}$$

and we shall call this algebra as the algebra of Laurent symmetric polynomials.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be any sequence of integers. Let us define Euler character by the following formula*

$$E_\lambda(x)\Delta_m(x) = \{E_\lambda(x)x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_m^0\} = \{x_1^{\lambda_1+m-1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_m}\}$$

where $\Delta_m(x) = \prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)$ and brackets $\{\}$ means alternation over group S_m,

$$\{f(x)\} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \varepsilon(\sigma)\sigma(f),$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ be integers, then $E_\lambda(x)$ form a basis of the ring $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ then polynomials $\{x_1^{\lambda_1+m-1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_m}\}$ form a basis in the space of Laurent skew-symmetric polynomials. Multiplication by Δ_m gives an isomorphism between vector space of symmetric polynomials and vector space of skew-symmetric polynomials. □

Definition 2.4. *For any integer k let us set $H_k = E_{(k,0,\dots,0)}$.*

Let us also define polynomials h_k, $h_k^{(\infty)}$ by equalities

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - x_i t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k t^k \ = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-m} h_k^{(\infty)} t^k$$

We as usually suppose that $h_k^* = h_k = 0$ if $k < 0$.

It is easy to check that

$$h_k^{(\infty)} = (-1)^m (x_1 \dots x_m)^{-1} h_{-m-k}^*,$$

where $h_k^* = h_k(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1})$.

Lemma 2.5. *We have the following equalities*

1)

$$H_k = \begin{cases} h_k, \ k \geq 0 \\ 0, \ -m < k < 0 \\ -h_k^{(\infty)} \ k \leq -m \end{cases} \quad , \quad or \quad H_k = h_k - h_k^{(\infty)}$$

2) $H_k - x_1 H_{k-1} = H_k(x_2, \dots, x_m)$

3) *If $m = 1$, then $H_k(x_1) - x_1 H_{k-1}(x_1) = 0$*

Proof. To prove the first equality we calculate the following generating function

$$H^+(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k t^k$$

we have

$$H^+(t)\Delta_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ x_1^k t^k x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_m^0 \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-x_1 t} x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_m^0 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{x_1^{m-1}\Delta^{(1)}(x)}{1-x_1 t} - \frac{x_2^{m-1}\Delta^{(2)}(x)}{1-x_2 t} - \dots - \frac{x_m^{m-1}\Delta^{(m)}(x)}{1-x_m t}$$

where

$$\Delta^{(l)}(x) = \prod_{i,j\neq l, i<j} (x_i - x_j)$$

On the other hand we have the decomposition in partial fractions

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (1-x_i t)} = \frac{x_1^{m-1}}{\prod_{j\neq 1}(x_1 - x_j)} \frac{1}{1-x_1 t}$$

$$+ \frac{x_2^{m-1}}{\prod_{j\neq 2}(x_2 - x_j)} \frac{1}{1-x_2 t} + \dots + \frac{x_m^{m-1}}{\prod_{j\neq m}(x_m - x_j)} \frac{1}{1-x_m t}$$

Therefore

$$H_m^+(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (1-x_i t)}$$

Now let us calculate generating function

$$H^-(t) = \sum_{k=-1}^{-\infty} H_k t^k$$

We have

$$H_m^-(t)\Delta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x_1^{-k} t^{-k} x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_m^0\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ \frac{x_1^{-1} t^{-1}}{1 - x_1^{-1} t^{-1}} x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_m^0 \}$$

So $H_m^-(t) = -H_m^+(t)$. This proves the first statement.

Now let us prove the statement 2). It is enough to prove it separately for h_k and $h_k^{(\infty)}$. We have $(1-x_1 t)H_m^+(t) = H_{m-1}^+(t)$ So the statement 2) is true for h_k. The case $h_k^{(\infty)}$ can be proved in the same way.

It it easy to check that if $m = 1$, then $H_k(x) = x^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the statement 3) follows. □

Theorem 2.6. 1) *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be any sequence of integers, then*

$$E_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \det(H_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$$

2) *If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}$ any sequence of integers, then we have the following equality in the ring $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$*

$$\det(H_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m+1} = 0$$

Proof. To prove the first statement we will use induction on m. If $m = 1$, the $E_k(x) = H_k(x)$ by definition. Let $m > 1$. We have

$$E_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)\Delta_m(x) = \left\{E(\lambda)x_1^{m-1} \dots x_m^0\right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1} & x_1^{\lambda_1+1} & \dots & x_1^{\lambda_1+m-1} \\ H_{\lambda_2-1} & H_{\lambda_2} & \dots & H_{\lambda_2+m-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{\lambda_m-m+1} & H_{\lambda_m-m+2} & \dots & H_{\lambda_m} \end{vmatrix} x_1^{m-1} \dots x_m^0 \right\} =$$

Now let us multiply every column (except the last one) by x_1 and subtract the result from the following column. Then apply formula 2) from Lemma 2.5 and expand the determinant along the first row. Then we get

$$E_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)\Delta_m(x) = \left\{E_\mu(x_2, \dots, x_m)x_1^{\lambda_1+m-1}x_2^{m-2} \dots x_m^0\right\} \quad (1)$$

where $\mu = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and we can apply inductive assumption.

Let us prove the second statement also induction by m. If $m = 1$, then for any integers λ_1, λ_2 we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} H_{\lambda_1} & H_{\lambda_1+1} \\ H_{\lambda_2-1} & H_{\lambda_2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} x^{\lambda_1} & x^{\lambda_1+1} \\ x^{\lambda_2-1} & x^{\lambda_2} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

If $m > 1$, then we can use the same arguments as before and induction. □

Remark 2.7. *It is easy to check, that if $l \le m$, then*

$$\det(H_{\lambda_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le m} = \det(H_{\lambda_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le l}$$

where $\lambda_{l+1} = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$.

Corollary 2.8. *Polynomials H_k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ generate the ring $\Lambda_m^\pm$.*

There exists one more formula of Jacobi-Trudy type for polynomials E_λ. It is called composite Schur functions [2, 9].

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be non-increasing sequence of integers. Let us write it in the form*

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r, 0, \dots, 0, -\nu_s, \dots, -\nu_1)$$

where, μ, ν are some partitions of the length r u s correspondently. Then the following equality is valid

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} h^*_{\nu_s} & h^*_{\nu_s-1} & \dots & h^*_{\nu_s-s-r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h^*_{\nu_1+s-1} & h^*_{\nu_1+s-2} & \dots & h^*_{\nu_1-r} \\ h_{\mu_1-s} & h_{\mu_1-s+1} & \dots & h_{\mu_1+r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\mu_r-s-r+1} & h_{\mu_r-s-r+2} & \dots & h_{\mu_r} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that we can suppose that $r+s=m$, considering patritions with zero parts. Since the determinant has size $m\times m$, then by Lemma 2.5 the following equalities are valid $h_i = H_i$, $h_j^* = H_{-m}^{-1}H_{-m-j}$ for all elements of the determinant. So we can bring H_{-m}^{-s} in front of the determinant and take into account that $H_{-m}^{-1} = (-1)^{m+1}x_1\dots x_m$. Then after reordering rows and take sings into account we get

$$E_\lambda = (x_1\dots x_m)^s \begin{vmatrix} H_{\mu_1-s} & H_{\mu_1-s+1} & \dots & H_{\mu_1+r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{\mu_r-s-r+1} & H_{\mu_r-s-r+2} & \dots & H_{\mu_r} \\ H_{-m-\nu_s} & H_{-m-\nu_s+1} & \dots & H_{-\nu_s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{-m-\nu_1-s+1} & H_{-m-\nu_1-s+2} & \dots & H_{-\nu_1-s} \end{vmatrix}$$

But it it easy to see from the definition, that

$$(x_1\dots x_m)E_{\lambda_1\dots,\lambda_m}(x_1,\dots,x_m) = E_{\lambda_1+1\dots,\lambda_m+1}(x_1,\dots,x_m)$$

and Lemma follows. □

Now we are going to describe ring $\Lambda_m^\pm$ in terms of the generators and relations. First, we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let A be a matrix of the size $n\times(n+1)$ and*

$$A = (A_1, A_2, \dots A_{n+1})$$

its columns. Let $A^{(l)} = A\setminus A_l$ be matrix of the size $n\times n$ obtaning from A by deleting the column A_l. For any subset $I\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$ define also matrix $A(I)$ of the size $n\times n$ by the formula

$$r_i(A(I)) = \begin{cases} r_i(A^{(n+1)}),\ i\in I \\ r_i(A^{(1)}),\ i\notin I \end{cases}$$

where $r_i(B)$ denotes the i-th row of the matrix B.

Then the following equality is true

$$\det A^{(l)} = \sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,n\}} \det A(I)$$

and sum is taken over all subset cardinality $l-1$.

Proof. Let us consider matrix $A^{(1)}+aA^{(n+1)}$, where a is indeterminate and decompose determinant in two ways: using rows and columns. If we use multilinear property of the determinant in rows, then we get

$$\det\left(A^{(1)}+aA^{(n+1)}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} a^l \sum_{I,\ |I|=l} \det A_I$$

If we use multilinear property of the determinant in columns then we get

$$\det\left(A^{(1)} + aA^{(n+1)}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} a^{l-1} \det A^{(l)}$$

and proof follows. □

Definition 2.11. *Let $z = (z_i), i \in \mathbb{Z}$ infinite sequence of variables and $I = (i_1, \dots i_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ finite sequence of integers. Set*

$$R_I(z) = \det(z_{i_\alpha+\beta-1})_{1\leq,\alpha,\beta\leq p}$$

We will also call p as the length of I and denote it by $\mid I \mid$.

Now we are ready do describe ring $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$ in terms of the generators and relations.

Theorem 2.12. *Ring $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$ is isomorphic any of the following rings:*
1) *Ring $U_{m,0}^{+}$ which is generated by $u_i, \hat{v}_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with relations*

$$R_I(w) = 0, \text{ for any } I \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$$

where $w_i = u_i - \hat{v}_{-m-i}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we suppose that $u_0 = 1$ u $u_i = \hat{v}_i = 0$, $i < 0$.
2) *Ring $U_{m,0}^{\pm}$ which is generated by t, u_i, v_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with relations*

$$R_I(w) = 0, \text{ for any } I \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$$

where $w_i = u_i - tv_{-m-i}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we assume that $u_0 = v_0 = 1$ u $u_i = v_i = 0$, $i < 0$.

Proof. Let us prove the first statement. Let $S = \{u_1, \dots, \hat{v}_0, \dots\}$ be the set of generators of the ring $U_{m,0}$. Consider a map

$$\varphi : S \longrightarrow \Lambda_m^{\pm},\ \varphi(u_i) = h_i,\ i \geq 1,\ \varphi(\hat{v}_i) = (-1)^m (x_1 \dots x_m)^{-1} h_i^*,\ i \geq 0$$

Then it is easy to check that $\varphi(w_i) = H_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. therefore the map φ can be extended as a homomorphism on the whole ring $\varphi : U_{m,0} \to \Lambda_m^{\pm}$. Now let us prove that this map is an isomorphism. For that we are going to construct some family of linear generators of the ring $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$. Namely we will prove that ring $U_{m,0}$ is a linear span of the elements $R_I(w)$ where $I \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. It is enough to prove that any product $w_{j_1} \dots w_{j_l}$ for any $l \geq 0$ can be expressed as a linear combination some of $R_I(w)$ with $\mid I \mid = m$. We will use induction on l. If $l = 0$ then the product is equal to 1 and the following equality is easy to verify $1 = R_{0,1,\dots,1-m}(w)$. So we can assume that $l > 1$ and we will prove that product $R_{i_1,\dots,i_m}(w) w_j$ where $j \notin \{0, -1, \dots, 1-m\}$ is a linear combination some of $R_{j_1,\dots,j_m}(w)$.

There are two cases $j > 0$ and $j < 1 - m$. Consider the first case. We are going to use induction on j. If $j = 1$, then by definition of the ring

$U_{m,0}$ we have $R_{\tilde{I}}(w) = 0$, where $\tilde{I} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m, 1-m)$. Expanding the determinant along its last row we get

$$\sum_{i \in \{1-m,\ldots,0,1\}} (-1)^{i+1} R^{(i)}_{\tilde{I}}(w) w_i = 0$$

where $R^{(i)}_{\tilde{I}}(w)$ means the determinant obtaining from $R_{\tilde{I}}(w)$ by deleting row and column containing element w_i. Therefore

$$R_I(w) w_1 + \sum_{i \in \{1-m,\ldots,0\}} (-1)^{i+1} R^{(i)}_{\tilde{I}}(w) w_i = 0$$

So $R_I(w) w_1 = R^{(0)}_{\tilde{I}}(w)$. But if we apply Lemma 2.10 to the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} w_{i_1} & w_{i_1+1} & \ldots & w_{i_1+m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{i_m} & w_{i_l+1} & \ldots & w_{i_l+m} \end{pmatrix}$$

then we see that $R^{(0)}_{\tilde{I}}(w)$ can be expressed as a linear combination some of $R_I(w)$, with $\mid I \mid = m$. If $j > 1$ then it is enough to consider relation $R_{\tilde{I}}(w) = 0$, where $\tilde{I} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m, j-m)$ and to use inductive assumption. If $j < 1-m$, then we can use the same arguments applying to relation $R_{\tilde{I}}(w) = 0$, where $\tilde{I} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m, j)$. Thereby we proved that $U_{m,0}$ is a linear span of $R(i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ with $\mid I \mid = m$.

Further we have

$$\varphi(R_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(w)) = E_{i_1,i_2+1\ldots,i_m+m-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$$

and by Theorem 2.3 elements $E_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$, $i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_m$ form a basis in the ring $\Lambda^{\pm}_m$. Therefore homomorphism φ is an isomorphism and we proved the first statement.

Now let us prove the second statement. We shall prove that rings $U_{m,0}$ and $U^{\pm}_{m,0}$ are isomorphic. From the definition of these rings there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi : U_{m,0} \longrightarrow U^{\pm}_{m,0}, \quad \varphi(u_i) = u_i,\ i \geq 1,\ \varphi(\hat{v}_i) = t v_i,\ i \geq 0$$

Let us construct a homomorphism $\psi : U^{\pm}_{m,0} \to U_{m,0}$. Let us prove first that element $\hat{v}_0$ is invertible in the ring $U_{m,0}$. Consider relation

$$R_{0,1,\ldots,m}(w) = \begin{vmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \ldots & w_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{-m} & w_{-m+1} & \ldots & w_0 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Since $w_0 = 1, w_{-1} = \cdots = w_{1-m} = 0$, we have an equality

$$(-1)^m w_0 \begin{vmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & \ldots & w_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{1-m} & w_{2-m} & \ldots & w_1 \end{vmatrix} = -1$$

Therefore $\hat{v}_0 = -w_m$ is invertible and we can define homomorphism ψ

$$\psi : U^{\pm}_{m,0} \longrightarrow U_{m,0}, \quad \psi(u_i) = u_i,\ i \geq 1,\ \psi(t) = \hat{v}_0,\ \psi(v_i) = \hat{v}_0^{-1}\hat{v}_i,\ i \geq 1$$

It is easy to check, that φ, ψ are mutually inverse homomorphisms. This proves the second statement and the Theorem. □

Remark 2.13. *In the previous theorem we used two slightly different ways to define ring of Laurent symmetric polynomials by means of generators and relations. We shall see later that a natural generalisation of the first way gives description of the ring of partially polynomial supersymmetric polynomials and a natural generalisation of the second way gives a description of the ring Laurent supersymmetric polynomials.*

3. Supersymmetric partially polynomial and polynomial rings

Definition 3.1. *The following ring*

$$\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n} = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_n] \mid x_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + y_j\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j} \in (x_i - y_j)\}$$

will be called the ring of partially polynomial (in y-s) supersymmetric polynomials.

Definition 3.2. *The ring*

$$\Lambda_{m,n} = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n] \mid x_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + y_j\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j} \in (x_i - y_j)\}$$

will be called the ring of super symmetric polynomials.

In this section we describe rings $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$ and $\Lambda_{m,n}$ in term of the generators and relations.

Let us define h_k, $h_k^{(\infty)}$ by means of expansion at zero and at infinity

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 - y_j t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}(1 - x_i t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k t^k \ = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-m} h_k^{(\infty)} t^k$$

It is easy to see that

$$h_k^{(\infty)} = (-1)^{n-m}\frac{y_1 \dots y_n}{x_1 \dots x_m} h^*_{n-m-k},$$

where $h^*_k = h_k(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_n^{-1})$. We also assume that $h^*_k = h_k = 0$, if $k < 0$.

Definition 3.3. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ set*

$$H_k = h_k - h_k^{(\infty)} = h_k - (-1)^{n-m}\frac{y_1 \dots y_n}{x_1 \dots x_m} h^*_{n-m-k} \tag{2}$$

Remark 3.4. *Previous formulae can be rewritten in the form*

$$H_k = \begin{cases} h_k, \ k > n - m \\ h_k - h_k^{(\infty)} \ 0 \leq k \leq n - m \\ -h_k^{(\infty)} \ k < 0 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.5. *The following equalities are valid*

1)

$$H_k(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j e_j(y) H_{k-j}(x)$$

2)

$$H_k(x)\Delta(x)\Delta(y) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_1}\right) x_1^k x_1^{m-1} x_2^{m-2} \dots x_m^0 y_1^{n-1} \dots y_n^0 \right\}$$

and the brackets $\{\}$ *means alternation over the group* $S_m \times S_n$

$$\{f(x,y)\} = \sum_{(\sigma,\tau)\in S_m\times S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma)\varepsilon(\tau) f(\sigma x, \tau y)$$

3)

$$H_k(x,y) - x_1 H_{k-1}(x,y) = H_k(x_2,\dots,x_m,y)$$

4) *if* $m = 1$, *then for any integer* k

$$H_k(x_1,y) - x_1 H_{k-1}(x_1,y) = 0$$

5) *For any sequence of integers* $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m$ *the following equality is true*

$$\det(H_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1\le i,j\le m} = \prod_{i=1}^{m}\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i}\right) s_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_m)$$

6) *For any sequence of integers* $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{m+1}$ *we have the following equality*

$$\det(H_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1\le i,j\le m+1} = 0$$

Proof. Let us prove the first statement. It is enough to prove it separately for h_k и $h_k^{(\infty)}$. In the case of h_k it follows from the equality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_k(x,y)t^k = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j e_j(y) t^j\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_k(x) t^k$$

A proof for $h_k^{(\infty)}$ is similar.

The second statement follows from the first one and the definition of $H_k(x)$.

The third and the forth statements also follow from the first one and Lemma 2.5.

Statements $5), 6)$ can be proved in the same manner as in Theorem 2.6, but instead of the definition $H_k(x)$, it is needed to use statement 2) from Lemma 3.5. □

In order to describe algebra $\Lambda_{m,n}^{+y}$ in terms of generators and relations we need to construct a linear basis in this algebra.

Definition 3.6. *Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ a sequence of integers and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ a sequens of nonnegative integers. Set*

$$H(I,J) = \begin{vmatrix} H_{i_1} & H_{i_1+1} & \dots & H_{i_1+p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{i_p} & H_{i_p} & \dots & H_{i_p+p-1} \end{vmatrix} h_1^{j_1} h_2^{j_2} \dots h_q^{j_q} = R_I(H) h_1^{j_1} h_2^{j_2} \dots h_q^{j_q}$$

Let us also denote by $X^+(m,n)$ the set of pairs of the sequences (I,J) such that I strictly decreasing sequence of integers, J any sequence of nonnegative integers and

$$\mid I \mid \leq m, \quad \mid J \mid \leq n, \quad \mid I \mid - \mid J \mid = m - n$$

where by definition equality $\mid I \mid = p$ means that $I \in \mathbb{Z}^p$.

Theorem 3.7. *Elements $H(I,J)$, $(I,J) \in X^+(m,n)$ form a linear basis in the ring $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$.*

Proof. Let use induction on mn. Let $mn = 0$. If $n = 0$, then the statement follows from Theorem 2.6. If $m = 0$, the the statement follows from the main theorem of symmetric functios. Let $mn > 0$. Let us consider a natural homomorphism

$$\varphi_{m,n} : \Lambda^{+y}_{m,n} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{+y}_{m-1,n-1}$$

such that $\varphi_{m,n}(x_m) = \varphi_{n,m}(y_n) = t$, and it acts identically on all other variables. It is clear that

$$\varphi(H_i) = H_i, \;\; \varphi(h_j) = h_j$$

From the inductive assumption it follows that this homomorphism is surjection. Therefore it is enough to prove that the kernel of this homomorphism has a basis consisting of $H(I,J)$ such, that $\mid I \mid = m$, $\mid J \mid = n$. It is easy to check that the following family forms a basis of the kernel

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i}\right) E_\lambda(x) e_1(y)^{j_1} \dots e_n(y)^{j_n}$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ non-increasing sequence of integers and $j_1, \dots, j_n$ - any sequence of nonnegative integers and $e_1, \dots, e_n$ elementary symmetric polynomials. Further we have

$$\begin{gathered} h_1(x,y) = h_1(x) - e_1(y) \\ h_2(x,y) = h_2(x) - h_1(x)e_1(y) + e_2(y) \\ \vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots \\ h_n(x,y) = h_n(x) - h_{n-1}(x)e_1(y) + \dots + (-1)^n e_n(y) \end{gathered}$$

So we see that $h_1(x,y)$, $h_2(x,y), \dots, h_n(x,y)$ can be expressed by low-triangular matrix in terms of $e_1(y)$, $\sigma_2(y), \dots, e_n(y)$ with units (up to sign) on the main diagonal. Therefore there exists an automorphism σ of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_n]^{S_m \times S_m}$ such that

$$\sigma(e_i(y)) = h_i(x,y),\ i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \sigma(e_i(x)) = e_i(x),\ i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.5 we see that

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2+1,\dots,\lambda_m+m-1}h_1^{j_1}h_2^{j_2}\dots h_n^{j_n}$$

also form a basis of the kernel. And the Theorem follows from the inductive assumption.

□

Definition 3.8. *Let m, n be two nonnegative integers. Let also $u_1, u_2, \dots$ and $v_0, v_1, \dots$ be two infinite sets. We will assume that $u_0 = 1$ u $u_i = 0$, $v_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Set $w_i = u_i - v_{-i-m+n}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and denote by $U^+_{m,n}$ the ring generated by $u_1, u_2, \dots,$ u $v_0, v_1, \dots,$ with relations*

$$R_{i_1,\dots,i_{m+1}}(w) = 0, \text{ for any } (i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$$

Now we want to construct some set of linear generator of the algebra $U_{m,n}$.

Definition 3.9. *Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ be a sequence of integers and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Set*

$$R(I, J) = R_I(w)u_1^{j_1}u_2^{j_2}\dots u_q^{j_q}$$

Theorem 3.10. *Elements $R(I, J)$, such that $(I, J) \in X^+(m, n)$ linearly generate the ring $U^+_{m,n}$.*

Proof. We will use induction on mn. Let $mn = 0$. Then, either $m = 0$, or $n = 0$. In the first case $\mid I \mid = 0, \mid J \mid = n$, Therefore the relations become $R_i(w) = 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and they are equivalent to the relations $u_i = 0, i > n$ and $u_i - v_{n-i} = 0$, $0 \le i \le n$ and $v_j = 0$, $j > n$. Therefore $U^+(0, n)$ is generated algebraically by $u_1, \dots, u_n$ and in this case Theorem is true.

In the second case $\mid I \mid = m$, $\mid J \mid = 0$ and we need to show that $U^+(m, 0)$ is a linear span of the elements $R_I(w)$, $\mid I \mid = m$. But this follows from the Theorem 2.12.

Let us now suppose that $mn > 0$. We have $m - n = (m - 1) - (n - 1)$, therefore from the definition of the rings $U^+_{m,n}$ it follows that there exists a homomorphism

$$\psi_{m,n} : U^+_{n,m} \to U^+_{m-1,n-1}$$

which sends generators to generators. Again from the definition of the rings $U^+_{n,m}$ it follows that the kernel of this homomorphism is the ideal generated by $R_I(w)$ with $\mid I \mid = m$. Therefore it is enough to prove that for $j \neq 1, \dots, n$ the product $R_I(w)u_j$ can be expressed as a linear combination some of $R(\tilde{I}, \tilde{J})$. And we need to prove also that product $R_I v_j$ for any j is a linear combination some of $R(\tilde{I}, \tilde{J})$ as well.

Let us consider the first case. We can assume that $j \ge n$ and we will use induction on $j - n$. If $j = n$, then our statement is clear. Let $j > n$. Consider relation $R_{i_1,\dots,i_m,j-m}(w) = 0$. If we expand the determinant along the last row then we get

$$R_I(w)w_j + \sum_{i\in\{j-m,\dots,j-1\}} (-1)^{i+1}R^{(i)}_{\tilde{I}}(w)w_i = 0$$

Since $i \in \{j-m, \dots, j-1\}$ we have $i \geq j-m > n-m$, so $w_i = u_i$ (it would be zero, if $i < 0$) and by induction $R_I(w)w_j$ is a linear combination some of $R(I,J)$. Let us prove that $R_I v_j, \mod I \mod = m$ is a linear combination some of $R(\tilde{I},\tilde{J})$ for $j \leq 0$ using induction on j. If $j = 0$, then $w_{n-m} = u_{n-m} - v_0$. Therefore we can replace v_0 on w_{n-m} and we can consider relation $R_{i_1,\dots,i_m,n-m} = 0$. Using the same arguments as before we get needed statement for $j = 0$. If $j > 0$, then $w_{n-m-j} = u_{n-m-j} - v_j$ and we can replace v_j by w_{n-m-j} and we can consider a relation $R_{i_1,\dots,i_m,n-m-j} = 0$ and use inductive assumption Theorem is proved.

□

Corollary 3.11. *Rings $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$ and $U^+_{m,n}$ isomorphic.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5

$$\det(H_{\lambda_i - i+j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m+1} = 0$$

for any sequence of integers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}$. Therefore from the defining relations of the ring $U^+_{m,n}$ it follows that there exists homomorphism such, that

$$\varphi : U_{m,n} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{+y}_{m,n},\ \varphi(u_i) = h_i,\ \varphi(v_i) = (-1)^{n-m}\frac{y_1 \dots y_n}{x_1 \dots x_m} h^*_i,\ i \geq 1$$

This homomorphism sends the family of linear generators of the algebra $U^+_{m,n}$ to a basis of the algebra $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$. Therefore it is an isomorphism. □

Let us consider the ring $\Lambda_{m,n}$ of supersymmetric polynomials. It is a subring in $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$. We also want to describe it in terms of generators and relations. Let us denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{>a}$ the set of integers which are strictly grater then a.

Corollary 3.12. *Ring $\Lambda_{m,n}$ is isomorphic the ring $U_{m,n}$ which is generated by $u_1, u_2, \dots$ subject to relations*

$$R_I(u) = 0, \textit{ for any } I = (i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>n-m})^{m+1}$$

and we assume that $u_0 = 1\ u\ u_i = 0$, $i < 0$.

Proof. It is well known that algebra $\Lambda_{m,n}$ is generated by $h_1, h_2, \dots$ (see for example [8]). Therefore according to the previous Theorem algebra $\Lambda_{m,n}$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra U in $U_{m,n}$ generated by $u_1, u_2, \dots$. From the definition w_i it follows that if $i > n-m$ then $w_i = u_i$. Therefore elements $R(I,J)$, $\mid I \mid = m$, $I \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>n-m})^m$ (we call such elements admissible) belong to U.

Let us consider relation $R_I(u) = 0, \mid I \mid = m+1$, where I is admissible (we call such relations also admissible). Then by the same arguments as in the proof of the Theorem 4.7, it can be shown that admissible elements linearly generate U by using only admissible relations. But according to the corollary 3.11 admissible elements $R(I,J)$ are linearly independent. This proves corollary. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let $n \geq m$, then the ring $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$(as the graded one) is isomorphic to the ring $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,m} \otimes \Lambda_{n-m}$.*

Proof. Let us consider a map $\varphi : \Lambda^{+}_{m,m} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{+}_{m,n}$,

$$\varphi(h_i) = h_{n-m+i}, \;\; \varphi(\frac{y_1 \dots y_m}{x_1 \dots x_m} h^*_i) = (-1)^{n-m} \frac{y_1 \dots y_n}{x_1 \dots x_m} h^*_i, \; i \geq 1$$

It follows from the defining relations of the ring $\Lambda^{+}_{m,m}$ that the map φ can be extended to the homomorphism of rings $\varphi : \Lambda_{m,m} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{m,n}$ It is easy to check that $\varphi(H_i) = H_{n-m+i}$, and $\varphi(H(I,J)) = H(I+n-m, J+n-m)$, where $I+a$ means the sequence $(i_1+a, \dots, i_p+a)$. So the map φ sends the basis of the ring $\Lambda^{+}_{m,m}$ to a subset of the basis of the ring $\Lambda_{m,n}$. And φ is injective when restricted the basis. Therefore φ is injective as a homomorphism of the rings.

Further there exists homomorphism ψ such that

$$\psi : \Lambda_{n-m} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{m,n}, \;\; \psi(h_i) = h_i, \;\; i = 1, \dots, n-m$$

So we have a homomorphism

$$\varphi \otimes \psi : \Lambda^{+y}_{m,m} \otimes \Lambda_{m,n} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{m,n}$$

it is easy to see that homomorphism $\varphi \otimes \psi$ sends bijectively tensor product of the bases in rings $\Lambda_{m,m}$ and Λ_{n-m} to the basis of the ring $\Lambda_{m,n}$. Therefore this is an isomorphism. But it does not preserves the grading. In order to construct a homomorphism preserving the grading let us consider a composition $\varphi \otimes \psi \circ \delta$, where $\delta : \Lambda^{+y}_{m,m} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{+y}_{m,m}$ is an automorphism $\delta(H_i) = H_{i-n+m}$.

□

Corollary 3.14. *Let $n \geq m$. Then there exists an isomorphism of rings*

$$\Lambda_{m,m} \otimes \Lambda_{n-m} = \Lambda_{m,n}$$

.

Proof. Let us consider the previous isomorphism $\varphi \otimes \psi$ and restrict it to the subring $\Lambda_{m,m} \otimes \Lambda_{n-m}$. It is clear that its image is $\Lambda_{m,n}$. □

4. Laurent supersymmetric polynomials

Definition 4.1. *Ring*

$$\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n} = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}] \mid x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + y_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j} \in (x_i - y_j)\}$$

will be called the ring of Laurent supersymmetric polynomials.

We are going to describe it in term of generators and relations. First are going to construct some natural basis in this ring.

Definition 4.2. *Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ be a sequence of integers and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ be a sequence of integers such that the first $q-1$ elements are nonnegative and the last one is any integer. Let us set*

$$H(I,J) = R_I(H) h_1^{j_1} h_2^{j_2} \dots h_{q-1}^{j_{q-1}} \Delta^{j_q}$$

where $\Delta = \frac{y_1 \dots y_n}{x_1 \dots x_m}$.

Let us denote by $X^{\pm}(m,n)$ the set of pairs (I,J) with the same properties as in Definition 4.2, but sequence I is strictly decreasing and such that

$$\mid I \mid \leq m, \ \mid J \mid \leq n, \mid I \mid - \mid J \mid = m - n$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(I,J) \in X^{\pm}(m,n)$, then elements $H(I,J)$ form a linear basis of the ring $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$.*

Proof. Let us induction on mn. Let $mn = 0$. If $n = 0$, then $\mid I \mid = m$ and the statement follows from Theorem 2.6. If $m = 0$, the statement follows the fact that the set of element of the type $e_1^{j_1} \dots e_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} e_n^{j_n}$ form a linear basis in the ring of Laurent symmetric polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n}$.

Let $mn > 0$. Consider a natural homomorphism

$$\varphi_{m,n} : \Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\pm}_{m-1,n-1}$$

such that $\varphi_{m,n}(x_m) = \varphi_{n,m}(y_n) = t$, and it acts identically on all other variables. It is clear that

$$\varphi(H_i) = H_i, \ i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varphi(h_j) = h_j, \quad \varphi(h_j^*) = h_j^*, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \varphi(\Delta) = \Delta$$

From the inductive assumption it follows that this homomorphism is surjection and it is enough to prove that the kernel of this homomorphism has a basis consisting of $H(I,J)$ such that $\mid I \mid = m$, $\mid J \mid = n$. It is easy to check that that the following family of elements forms a basis of the kernel

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i}\right) E_\lambda(x) e_1(y)^{j_1} \dots e_n(y)^{j_n}$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ non-increasing sequence of integers and $j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}$ - any sequence of nonnegative integers, j_n is any integer and $e_1, \dots, e_n$ elementary symmetric polynomials. Further we have

$$h_1(x,y) = h_1(x) - e_1(y)$$

$$h_2(x,y) = h_2(x) - h_1(x)e_1(y) + e_2(y)$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$h_{n-1}(x,y) = h_{n-1}(x) - h_{n-2}(x)e_1(y) + \dots + (-1)^n e_{n-1}(y)$$

$$\Delta = \frac{e_n(y)}{e_m(x)}$$

So we see that $h_1(x,y)$, $h_2(x,y), \dots, h_{n-1}(x,y), \Delta$ can be expressed by low-triangular matrix in terms of $e_1(y)$, $e_2(y), \dots, e_n(y)$ with units and $e_m(x)^{-1}$

(up to sign) on the main diagonal. Therefor there exists an automorphism σ of the ring

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_m \times S_m}$$

such that

$$\varphi(\sigma_i(y)) = h_i(x, y),\ i = 1, \dots, n-1,\ \varphi(\sigma_n(y)) = \Delta,$$
$$\varphi(\sigma_i(x)) = \sigma_i(x),\ i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.5 we see that

$$H_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m} h_1^{j_1} h_2^{j_2} \dots h_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} \Delta^{j_n}$$

also form a basis of the kernel. And the Theorem follows from the inductive assumption.

□

Definition 4.4. *Let m, n be two nonnegative integers. Let also $u_1, u_2, \dots$, $v_1, v_2, \dots$ be two infinite sets of variables and t is an additional variable. We assume that $u_0 = 1$, $v_0 = 1$ u $u_i = 0$, $v_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Set $w_i = u_i - t v_{-i-m+n}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and denote by $U_{m,n}^{\pm}$ the ring generated by $u_1, u_2, \dots$, $v_1, v_2, \dots, t$, and relations*

$$R_{i_1,\dots,i_{m+1}}(w) = 0,\ \textit{for any } (i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$$

We want to show that $\Lambda_{m,n}^{\pm}$ is isomorphic to $U_{m,n}^{\pm}$. Let us prove first that t is invertible in the ring $U_{m,n}^{\pm}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Element t is invertible in the ring $U_{m,n}^{\pm}$.*

Proof. Let us consider in the ring $U_{m,n}^{\pm}$ the subring $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by u_i, $v_i, i \geq 1$. Let us prove that element t satisfies algebraic equation with coefficients in $\mathfrak{A}$ and that the constant term is equal to 1.

For that consider a relation

$$\begin{vmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \dots & w_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{-m} & w_{-m+1} & \dots & w_0 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

The left hand side of this relation is a polynomial in t. If we substitute in this relation $t = 0$ we see that the constant term is 1. Therefore the equation has a form

$$a_k t^k + a_{k-1} t^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 t + 1 = 0$$

or

$$t(a_k t^{k-1} + a_{k-1} t^{k-2} + \dots + a_1) + 1 = 0$$

therefore t is invertible. □

Now we want to construct some set of linear generators of the ring $U_{m,n}^{\pm}$.

Definition 4.6. *Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p), J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ such that $(I, J) \in X^{\pm}(m, n)$. Set*

$$R(I, J) = R_I(w) u_1^{j_1} u_2^{j_2} \dots u_{q-1}^{j_{q-1}} t^{j_q}$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(I,J) \in X^{\pm}(m,n)$ then elements $R(I,J)$ linearly generate the ring $U^{\pm}_{m,n}$.*

Proof. Since determinant changes its sing after transposition of two rows we can suppose that members of the sequence I does not necessary strictly decrease. We will use induction on mn. Let $mn = 0$. Then either $m = 0$, or $n = 0$. In the first case $\mid I \mid = 0, \mid J \mid = n$. Therefore the relations come to the form $w_i = 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and they are equivalent to the relations $u_i = 0$, $v_i = 0$, if $i > n$ and $1 - tv_n = 0$, $u_n - t = 0$, $u_i - tv_{n-i} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Therefore ring $U^{\pm}(0,n)$ is generated algebraically by $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t, t^{-1}$ and Theorem is true in this case.

In the second case $\mid I \mid = m$, $\mid J \mid = 0$ .and we need to show that $U^{\pm}(m,0)$ is a linear span of the elements R_I, $\mid I \mid = m$. But this follows from 2.12.

Suppose now that $mn > 0$. We have $m - n = (m-1) - (n-1)$, therefore from the defining relations of the ring $U^{\pm}_{m,n}$ it follows that there exists a homomorphism

$$\psi : U^{\pm}_{n,m} \to U^{\pm}_{m-1,n-1}$$

which sends generators to generators. Again from the definition of the algebra $U^{\pm}_{n,m}$ it follows that the kernel of this homomorphism is the ideal generated by $R_I(w)$, $\mid I \mid = m$. Therefore in order to prove the Theorem it is enough to prove that product $R_I(w)u_j$ for $j \neq 1, \ldots, n-1$ can be expressed as a linear combination some of $R(\tilde{I}, \tilde{J})$, $(\tilde{I}, \tilde{J}) \in X^{\pm}(m,n)$. And we also need to prove the same for $R_I(w)v_j$, for any j.

Let us consider the first case. We will prove this statement induction on $j - n + 1$. If $j = n - 1$, then it is clear. Let $j > n - 1$. Consider relation $Ri_1, \ldots, i_m, j - m(w) = 0$. If we expand the determinant along its last row we get

$$R_I(w)w_j + \sum_{i \in \{j-m, \ldots, j-1\}} (-1)^{i+1} R^{(i)}_{\tilde{I}}(w) w_i = 0$$

Since for $i \in \{j-m, \ldots, j-1\}$ we have $i \geq j-m \geq n-m$, then $w_i = u_i$, $u_i - t$ (the last case is possible if $j = n$) and by induction and Lemma 2.10 $R_I(w)w_j$ is a linear combination some of $R(I,J)$.

Let us prove the same statement for product $R_I(w)v_j$ and $j > 0$ also by induction. If $j = 1$, then $w_{n-m-1} = u_{n-m-1} - tv_1$ therefore we can replace v_1 by w_{n-m-1}. Then we can consider relation $R_{i_1,\ldots,i_m,n-m-1}(w) = 0$. Using the same argument as before we get the needed statement for $j = 1$. Let $j > 1$, then $w_{n-m-j} = u_{n-m-j} - tv_j$ and we can replace v_j на w_{n-m-j} and can consider relation $R_{i_1,\ldots,i_m,n-m-j}(w) = 0$. Using this relation and inductive assumption we prove the Theorem. □

Corollary 4.8. *Rings $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$ and $U^{\pm}_{m,n}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let us consider a map φ

$$\varphi(u_i) = h_i,\ \varphi(v_i) = h^*_i,\ i \geq 1,\ \varphi(t) = (-1)^{n-m} \frac{y_1 \ldots y_n}{x_1 \ldots x_m}$$

By Lemma 3.5

$$\det(H_{\lambda_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le m+1}=0$$

Therefore the map φ can be extended to the homomorphism

$$\varphi: U_{m,n}\longrightarrow \Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}.$$

It is easy to check that homomorphism φ sends the family of linear generators of the ring $U_{m,n}$ to the basis of the ring $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$. Therefore this is an isomorphism.

□

5. Jacobi-Trudy formulae and Euler characters

It is well known that that Schur polynomials is a natural basis in the algebra Λ_m. Analogously super Schur polynomials is a natural basis in the algebra $\Lambda_{m,n}$. In the case of the algebras $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$ and $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$ also there is a natural basis consisting of the characters of irreducible finite dimensional modules. But until now a closed explicit formula for them does not known. So instead of irreducible characters we will use Euler characters, because there is an explicit formula for them according to Serganova [5]. Of course there are many families of Euler characters which form a basis in the algebra $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$. We chose those which are closely related to the Kac modules. The main result of this section is Jacobi-Trudy type formula for characters of Kac modules and characters of Euler modules.

First we prove some technical lemmas. Let a_i, $b_i, i\ge 0$ be two sequences of elements from commutative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $a_0=b_0=1$. Consider two formal series $f(t)=\sum_{i\ge 0}a_it^i$, $\quad g(t)=\sum_{i\ge 0}b_it^i$ and suppose that $f(t)g(t)=1$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let λ be a partition such that $l(\lambda)\le p$, $l(\lambda')\le r$. Then*

$$\det(a_{\lambda_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le p}=(-1)^{|\lambda|}\det(b_{\lambda'_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le r}$$

Proof. See [8]. For reader convenience we reproduce the proof here. Let us define two matrices $A=(a_{i-j})$ and $B=(b_{i-j})$ (we assume that $a_i=b_i=0$ for $i<0$). Then the previous condition means that $AB=1$ and $\det A=\det B=1$. By the formula for minors of mutually inverse matrices (see [4]) we have

$$A(I,J)=(-1)^{|I|+|J|}B(\bar J,\bar I),\quad I,J\subset\{1,\dots,N\},$$

and $\bar I,\bar J$ are complements to I, J. Set $J=\{1,\dots,p\}$, $I=\{\lambda_p+1,\lambda_{p-1}+2,\dots,\lambda_1+p\}$. Then $\bar J=\{p+1,\dots,p+r\}$ and according to [8] $\bar I=\{p+j-\lambda'_j\}$. Therefore

$$A(I,J)=\det(a_{ij})_{i\in I,\,j\in J}=\det(a_{p-i+1,p-j+1})=\det(a_{\lambda_i-i+j})$$
$$B(\bar J\bar I)=\det(b_{ji})_{j\in\bar J,i\in\bar I}=\det(b_{p+j-p-i+\lambda'_i})=\det(b_{\lambda'_i-i+j})$$

□

We also need a dual form of composite symmetric polynomials.

Lemma 5.2. *Let ν and μ be partitions such $l(\mu)+l(\nu)\leq m$. Then the following equality is valid in the ring $\Lambda_m^{\pm}$*

$$\begin{vmatrix} h^*_{\nu_q} & h^*_{\nu_q-1} & \dots & h^*_{\nu_q-q-p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h^*_{\nu_1+q-1} & h^*_{\nu_1+q-2} & \dots & h^*_{\nu_1-p} \\ h_{\mu_1-q} & h_{\mu_1-q+1} & \dots & h_{\mu_1+p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\mu_p-q-p+1} & h_{\mu_p-q-p+2} & \dots & h_{\mu_p} \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} e^*_{\nu'_s} & e^*_{\nu'_s-1} & \dots & e^*_{\nu'_s-s-r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^*_{\nu'_1+s-1} & e^*_{\nu'_1+s-2} & \dots & e^*_{\nu'_1-r} \\ e_{\mu'_1-s} & e_{\mu'_1-s+1} & \dots & e_{\mu'_1+r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{\mu'_r-s-r+1} & e_{\mu'_r-s-r+2} & \dots & e_{\mu'_r} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. For any i we have $e^*_i = e^*_m e_{m-i}$. Therefore the left hand side determinant takes a form

$$(e^*_m)^s \begin{vmatrix} e_{m-\nu'_s} & e_{m-\nu'_s+1} & \dots & e_{m-\nu'_s+s+r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{m-\nu'_1-s+1} & e_{m-\nu'_1-s+2} & \dots & e_{m-\nu'_1+r} \\ e_{\mu'_1-s} & e_{\mu'_1-s+1} & \dots & e_{\mu'_1+r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{\mu'_r-s-r+1} & e_{\mu'_r-s-r+2} & \dots & e_{\mu'_r} \end{vmatrix}$$

According to our assumptions $m-\nu'_1 \geq \mu'_1$, so by Lemma 5.1 the previous determinant is equal to

$$(e^*_m)^s \begin{vmatrix} h_{\lambda_1} & h_{\lambda_1+1} & \dots & h_{\lambda_1+q+p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\lambda_{p+q}-q-p+1} & h_{\mu_{p+q}-q-p+2} & \dots & h_{\lambda_{p+q}} \end{vmatrix}$$

where λ is the partition conjugated to partition $(m-\nu'_s,\dots,m-\nu'_1,\mu'_1,\dots,\mu'_r)$. It is easy to check that $\lambda=(\mu_1+\nu_1,\dots,\mu_p+\nu_1,\nu_1-\nu_q,\dots,\nu_1-\nu_2,0)$. Therefore by Lemma 2.9 the left hand side of the equality which we are proving is equal to $E(\chi)$. The left hand side is also equal to $E(\chi)$ by the same Lemma. □

We actually need a generalisation of the Lemma 5.1. There should be a direct prove the Lemma below. But we will us the previous Lemma. Let a_i, a^*_i, b_i, b^*_i be four sequences of elements from commutative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $a_0=a^*_0=b_0=b^*_0=1$ and $a_i,=a^*_i=b_i=b^*_i=0$ for $i<0$. Consider

four formal series

$$f(t)=\sum_{i\geq 0}a_it^i,\quad f^*(t)=\sum_{i\leq 0}a_i^*t^i,\quad g(t)=\sum_{i\geq 0}b_it^i,\quad g^*(t)=\sum_{i\leq 0}b_i^*t^i$$

and suppose that $f(t)g(t)=1,\ f^*(t)g^*(t)=1$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let ν,μ are partitions such that*

$$l(\nu)=q,\ l(\mu)=p,\ l(\nu')=r,\ l(\mu')=s.$$

Then

$$\begin{vmatrix} a^*_{\nu_q} & a^*_{\nu_q-1} & \dots & a^*_{\nu_q-q-p+1}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ a^*_{\nu_1+q-1} & a^*_{\nu_1+q-2} & \dots & a^*_{\nu_1-p}\\ a_{\mu_1-q} & a_{\mu_1-q+1} & \dots & a_{\mu_1+p-1}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ a_{\mu_p-q-p+1} & a_{\mu_p-q-p+2} & \dots & a_{\mu_p} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$=(-1)^{|\nu|+|\mu|}\begin{vmatrix} b^*_{\nu'_s} & b^*_{\nu'_s-1} & \dots & b^*_{\nu'_s-s-r+1}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ b^*_{\nu'_1+s-1} & \tilde{b}^*_{\nu'_1+s-2} & \dots & b^*_{\nu'_1-r}\\ b_{\mu'_1-s} & b_{\mu'_1-s+1} & \dots & b_{\mu'_1+r-1}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ b_{\mu'_r-s-r+1} & b_{\mu'_r-s-r+2} & \dots & b_{\mu'_r} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. Let us fix partitions μ and ν. Then chose natural number m such that the following conditions are fulfilled 1) $p+q\leq m$

2) any index in the left nand side determinant or in the right hand side determinant is not grater then m. Equivalent conditions are $s+q-1\leq m$, $r+p-1\leq m$.

3) Elements e_i^*, $1\leq i\leq q+s-1$, e_i, $1\leq i\leq p+r-1$ algebraically independent.

Let now $\mathfrak{B}\subset\Lambda^{\pm}_m$ be the subring generated by e_i^*, $1\leq i\leq q+s-1$, e_i, $1\leq i\leq p+r-1$. Since for any natural k we have

$$h_k=\begin{vmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{k-1} & e_k\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \dots & 1 & e_1 \end{vmatrix},\quad h_k^*=\begin{vmatrix} e_1^* & 1 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ e_k^* & e_{k-1}^* & \dots & e_1^* \end{vmatrix} \tag{3}$$

therefore element of the left hand side determinant belong to $\mathfrak{B}$. Consider homomorphism $\varphi:\mathfrak{B}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$\varphi(e_i)=(-1)^ib_i,\ 1\leq i\leq q+s-1\ \ \varphi(e_i^*)=(-1)^ib_i^*,\ 1\leq i\leq p+r-1$$

according to our assumptions for a_i,a_i^*,b_j,b_j^* the conditions (3) are satisfied. Therefore $\varphi(h_i)=a_i$, $\varphi(h_i^*)=a_i^*$. If we apply homomorphism φ to the both sides of the equality from Lemma 5.2 we get the Theorem. □

Now we are ready to construct a basis in the ring $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$.

Definition 5.4. *Let (λ, μ) be two sequences of non-increasing integers. Let us write the sequence μ in the form $\mu = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r, 0, \dots, 0 - \nu_s, \dots, -\nu_1)$, where ν, τ are partitions. Let σ be the sequence defined by the rule*

$$(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{l+p+k}) = (\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_l, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \nu'_1, \dots, \nu'_k)$$

where $p =\mid \lambda \mid$ and $'$ means the conjugate partition. Let us define element $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ of the ring $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$ by the formula

$$K_{\lambda,\mu} = \det(a_{ij}),\ \textit{where } a_{ij} = \begin{cases} h^*_{\sigma_i+i-j},\ 1 \le i \le l \\ H_{\sigma_i-i+j},\ l < i \le l+p \\ h_{\sigma_i-i+j},\ l+p < i \le l+p+k \end{cases},$$

and in all cases $1 \le j \le l+p+k$

Let us denote by $X(n,m)$ the set of pairs of sequences of non-increasing integers (λ, μ) and such that

$$\mid \lambda \mid \le m,\ \mid \mu \mid \le n,\ \mid \lambda \mid - \mid \mu \mid = m - n$$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in X(m,n)$ then set of all $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ form a basis in the ring $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n}$.*

Proof. We will use induction on mn. If $mn = 0$, then either $m = 0$, or $n = 0$. If $m = 0$, then $\mid \lambda \mid = 0$, $\mid \mu \mid = n$, $h_i(\emptyset, y) = (-1)^i e_i(y)$, $h^*_i = (-1)^i e^*_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. From the Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 2.9 it follows that

$$K_{\emptyset,\mu}(y) = (-1)^{|\nu|+|\tau|} E_\mu(y).$$

This polynomials form a basis in $\Lambda^{\pm}_n$ again by Lemma 2.9. If $n = 0$, then $\mu = \emptyset$, $\mid \lambda \mid = m$ and the statement follows from the Theorem 2.6.

Let now $nm > 0$. Consider homomorphism

$$\varphi_{m,n} : \Lambda^{\pm}_{m,n} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\pm}_{m-1,n-1}, \quad \varphi(x_m) = \varphi(y_n)$$

and on the other variables it acts identically. By inductive assumption polynomials $\varphi(K_{\lambda,\mu})$ such that $(\lambda, \mu) \in X(m-1, n-1)$ form a basis in $\Lambda^{\pm}_{m-1,n-1}$. Therefore in order to prove the Theorem we need to show that, polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ such that $\mid \lambda \mid = m$, $\mid \mu \mid = n$ form a basis of the kernel of the homomorphism $\varphi_{m,n}$. Actually it is enough to prove that

$$K_{\lambda,\mu} = (-1)^{|\tau|+|\nu|} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i}\right) s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) s_\mu(y_1 \dots, y_n), \qquad (4)$$

This means that $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ are characters of Kac modules up to a sign.

We have

$$K_{\lambda,\tau,\nu} \Delta_m(x) \Delta_n(y) = \{K_{\lambda,\tau,\nu}(x,y) x^{\rho_m} y^{\rho_n}\}$$

where brackets mean alternation on the group $S_m \times S_n$. Further applying alternation operation to the row number $l+1$ we come to equality

$$K_{\lambda,\mu} \Delta(x) \Delta(y) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_1}\right) \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(x,y) x^{\rho_m} y^{\rho_n} \right\}$$

where the determinant $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(x,y)$ differs from the $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ only in the row number $l+1$ which is

$$(x_1^{\lambda_1-l+1}, x_1^{\lambda_1-l+2}, \dots, x_1^{\lambda_1+p+k})$$

Now let us multiply every column (starting from the first one) of the determinant $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}(x,y)$ by x_1 and subtract it from the following column. Then using the equalities

$$h^*_{i-1} - x_1 h^*_i = -x_1 h^*_i(x_2, \dots, x_m, y)$$

$$h_i - x_1 h_{i-1} = h_i(x_2, \dots, x_m, y)$$

and expanding determinant along its row number $l+1$ we come to the equality

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}\Delta_m(x)\Delta_n(y) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_1}\right) x_1^{\lambda_1} K_{\lambda^{(1)},\mu}(x^{(1)}, y) x^{\rho_m} y^{\rho_n} \right\} \quad (5)$$

where

$$K_{\lambda^{(1)},\mu}(x^{(1)}, y) = K_{\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_m,\mu}(x_2, \dots x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

If we apply previous arguments m times then we come to the equality

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}\Delta_m(x)\Delta_n(y) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i}\right) x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_m} K_{\emptyset,\mu}(\emptyset, y) x^{\rho_m} y^{\rho_n} \right\}$$

But we have already proved that

$$K_{\emptyset,\mu}(\emptyset, y) = (-1)^{|\mu|+|\nu|} E_\mu(y)$$

And Theorem is proved. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let $(\lambda,\mu) \in X(m,n)$ and μ is a partition then set of all $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ form a basis in the ring $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$.*

Proof. It is clear that if μ is a partition then $K_{\lambda,\mu} \in \Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$. So it is enough to prove that such elements linearly generated the ring $\Lambda^{+y}_{m,n}$. Let us prove it induction on mn. If $m = 0$, then we already proved that $K_{\emptyset,\mu}(y) = (-1)^{|\mu|}E_\mu(y)$ иand therefore linearly generate Λ_n. If $n = 0$, then as before the statement follows from the Theorem 2.6. If $mn > 0$, then considering homomorphism $\varphi_{m,n}$ and applying inductive assumption we see that it is enough to prove that the kernel of the homomorphism $\varphi_{m,n}$ is a linear span of the elements $K_{\lambda,\nu}$ such that $\mid \lambda \mid = m, \mid \mu \mid = n$. ut in this case $K_{\lambda,\nu}$ can be written in the form (4) and therefore they linearly generate the kernel. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let $(\lambda,\mu) \in X(m,n)$ and λ,μ are a partitions then set of all $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ form a basis in the ring $\Lambda_{m,n}$.*

Proof. The proof can be give exactly in the same manner as the proof of the previous corollary. □

Remark 5.8. *It is not difficult to show that there is a bijection between set of partitions $(\lambda, \mu) \in X(m, n)$ and the set of partitions ν such that $\nu_{m+1} \leq n$ and the definition of $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ coincides with the Jacobi-Trudy formula for supersymmetric Schur functions. So in particulaly our formulae are natural generalisations of Jacobi-Trudy formulae.*

Now let us show that our $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ are particular case of Euler characters formulae.

Let us define for $(\lambda, \mu) \in X(m, n)$ two sets of natural numbers

$$D_+ = [1, p] \times [1, n], \quad D_- = [p + 1, m] \times [1, q]$$

where $p =\mid \lambda \mid$, $q =\mid \mu \mid$. Set also $x^{\rho_m} = x_1^{m-1} \dots x_m^0$, $y^{\rho_n} = y_1^{n-1} \dots y_n^0$.

Proposition 5.9. *The following equality is valid*

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}\Delta(x)\Delta(y) =$$

$$= (-1)^a \left\{ \prod_{(i,j)\in D_+} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i}\right) \prod_{(i,j)\in D_-} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{y_j}\right) x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_p^{\lambda_p} y_1^{\mu_1} \dots y_q^{\mu_q} x^{\rho_m} y^{\rho_n} \right\}$$

where $a = |\tau| + |\nu|$.

Proof. Let us use induction on p. We start with minimal value of p_0. If $n \geq m$, then minimal value of p is 0. If $n < m$, the minimal value of p is $m - n$. In the first case $q = n - m$. By Lemma (5.3)

$$K_{\emptyset,\mu}(x, y) = (-1)^{|\nu|+|\tau|} K_{\mu,\emptyset}(y, x)$$

. The determinant on the right hand side contains $h_i^*(y, x)$ and $h_i(y, x)$. The minimal index in the first case is $\nu'_s - s - r + 1$, in the second case is $\tau'_r - r - s + 1$. It follows from the definition that $h_i(y, x) = H_i(y, x)$, и $h_i^*(y, x) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x_1 \dots x_m}{y_1 \dots n} H_{m-n-i}(y, x)$, if $i > m - n$. By our assumptions $s+r \leq q = n-m$, therefore both of minimal indexes strictly grate then $m-n$ so all small letters h, h^* can be replaced by capital letters H. Therefore by Lemma 3.5 the statement of the Theorem is true in this case. In the second case $m > n, p = m - n$, $q = 0$ and statement of the Theorem follows from the Lemma 3.5.

Let $p > 0$, then $m > 0$ so, applying equality (5) and taking into account that $\tau_1 + \nu_1 \leq p + n - m = (p - 1) + n - (m - 1)$ we can reduce the proof to the case $p - 1$ and use inductive assumption.

□

6. Acnowledgements

I am grateful to A.I. Molev and M.L. Nazarov for useful discussions.

The study has been partially funded by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100'.

References

[1] J. Brundan *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra* $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 1, 185–231.

[2] C.J. Cummins, R.C. King,*Composite Young diagram, supercharacters of* $U(M/N)$ *and modification rules.* J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 20 (1987) 3121–3133.

[3] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation Theory. A First Course. Grad. Texts in Math., Reading in Mathematics, vol.129, Springer-Verlag, New York 1991.

[4] F.R. Gantmacher *The Theory of Matrices.* vol.1, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York 68,1959, 374 pp.

[5] C. Gruson, V. Serganova *Cohomology of generalized super grassmannians and character formula for basic classical Lie superalgebras.* In preparation.

[6] I. Kantor, I. Trishin The algebra of polynomial invariants of the adjoint representation of the Lie superalgebra $gl(n, m)$. Communication in algebra, 25(7), 1997, 2039–2070.

[7] H.M. Khudaverdian, Th.Th. Voronov *Berezinians, exterior powers and recurrent sequences.* Lett. Math. Phys. 74 (2005), no. 2, 201–228.

[8] I. Macdonald *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials.* 2nd edition, Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.

[9] E. Moens *Supersymmetric Schur functions and Lie superalgebra representations.* PhD Thesis, Universitet Gent, 2007. https:/ biblio.ugent.be/publicatin/469926

[10] Sergeev, Alexander N.; Veselov, Alexander P.*Grothendieck rings of basic classical Lie superalgebras.* Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 2, 663–703.

Department of Mathematics, Saratov State University, Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia and National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation.

E-mail address: SergeevAN@info.sgu.ru